Astrophysical Cosmology

Andy Taylor

Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Royal Observatory Edinburgh





The large-scale distribution of galaxies



Temperature Variations in the Cosmic Microwave Background



Properties of the Universe

- Universe is expanding.
- Components of the Universe are:



Universe is 13.7 Billion years old.Expansion is currently accelerating.

1920's: The Great Debate

Are these nearby clouds of gas?

Or distant stellar systems (galaxies)

1920's: The Great Debate

- In 1924 Edwin Hubble finds Cepheid Variable stars in M31.
- Cepheid intrinsic brightness correlate with variability (standard candle), so can measure their distance.
- Measured 3 million light years (1Mpc) to M31.





• Between 1912 and 1920 Vesto Slipher finds most galaxy's spectra are redshifted.



Slipher is first to suggest the Universe is expanding !

Hubble's Law

In 1929 Hubble also finds fainter galaxies are more redshifted. Infers that recession velocities increase with distance.





1. Grenade Model:



2. Scaling Model:

 $\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{R}(t) \mathbf{x}_0$





In 1915 Albert Einstein showed that the geometry of spacetime is shaped by the mass-energy distribution.

Albert Einstein

General Theory of Relativity required to describe the evolution of spacetime.



Cosmological Coordinates (t, x):
How do we lay down a global coordinate system?

• In general we cannot.

Can we lay down a local coordinate system?
Yes, can use Special Relativity locally, if we can cancel gravity.

• We can cancel gravity by free-falling (equivalence principle).

• Equivalence Principle:



• Equivalence Principle:



• In free-fall, a **Fundamental Observer** locally measures the spacetime of Special Relativity.

• Special Relativity Minkowski-space line element:

$$-ds^{2} = c^{2}d\tau^{2} = c^{2}dt^{2} - dx^{2} - dy^{2} - dz^{2}$$

- So all Fundamental Observers will measure time changing at the same rate, dt.
- Universal cosmological time coordinate, t.

- How can we synchronize this Universal cosmological time coordinate, t, everywhere?
- With a Symmetry Principle:
 - On large-scales Universe seems **isotropic** (same in all directions, eg, Hubble expansion, galaxy distribution, CMB).
 - Combine with **Copernican Principle** (we're not in a special place).

• Isotropy + Copernican Principle = homogeneity (same in all places)

A

B

 $O = \rho_1$



So uniform density everywhere

• Isotropy + homogeneity = Cosmological Principle



- With the Cosmological Principle, we have uniform density everywhere.
- Density will decrease with expansion, so $\rho = \rho$ (t).
- So can synchronize all Fundamental Observers clocks at pre-set density, ρ_0 , and time, t_0 :

$$t_0: \quad \rho(t_0) = \rho_0$$





• A general line element (Pythagoras on curved surface):

$$c^2 d\tau^2 = g_{\nu\mu} dx^{\nu} dx^{\mu} \qquad x^{\mu} = (ct, x, y, z)$$

Minkowski metric tensor:

$$g_{\nu\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

• We have Universal Cosmic Time of Special Relativity, t, so

$$c^{2}d\tau^{2} = c^{2}dt^{2} - d\sigma_{3}^{2}$$

 σ_3^2 – Spatial part of metric

25

- What is spatial metric, σ_3^2 ?
- From Cosmological Principle (homogeneity + isotropy) spatial curvature must be constant everywhere.
- Only 3 possibilities:
 - Sphere positive curvature
 - Saddle negative curvature
 - Flat zero curvature.

• What is form of σ_3^2 ?

• Consider the metric on a **2-sphere** of radius R, σ_2^2 :

 $d\sigma_2^2 = R^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta \, d\phi^2)$

C A

 $d\sigma$

R

• The metric on a 2-sphere of radius R:

$$d\sigma_2^2 = R^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)$$

• Now re-label θ as r and ϕ as θ .

$$d\sigma_2^2 = R^2 (dr^2 + \sin^2 r d\theta^2)$$

where $r = (0,\pi)$ is a dimensionless distance.

do

R

• Can generate other 2 models from the 2-sphere:



$$d\sigma_2^2 = R^2 (dr^2 + \sin^2 r d\theta^2)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad r \to ir, R \to iR$$

$$d\sigma_2^2 = R^2 (dr^2 + \sinh^2 r d\theta^2)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad r << R$$

$$d\sigma_2^2 = R^2 (dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2)$$

• General 3-metric for 3 curvatures:



$$d\sigma_{2}^{2} = R^{2}(dr^{2} + S_{k}^{2}(r)d\theta^{2})$$
$$S_{k}(r) = \begin{cases} \sin(r), \ k = +1 \\ r, \ k = 0 \\ \sinh(r), \ k = -1 \end{cases}$$

• Different properties of triangles on curved surfaces:



• Different properties of triangles on curved surfaces:



$$d\sigma_{2}^{2} = R^{2}(dr^{2} + S_{k}^{2}(r)d\theta^{2})$$
$$S_{k}(r) = \begin{cases} \sin(r), \ k = +1 \\ r, \ k = 0 \\ \sinh(r), \ k = -1 \end{cases}$$

• Finally add extra compact dimension:

$$d\theta^2 \rightarrow d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$$

• Promote a 2-sphere to a 3-sphere



• So metric of 3-sphere is

$$d\sigma_3^2 = R^2 (dr^2 + S_k^2(r)d\psi^2),$$

$$d\psi^2 = d\sigma_2^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta \, d\phi^2$$

 $\nabla d\theta$

• The **Robertson-Walker metric** generalizes the Minkowski line element for symmetric cosmologies:



• Alternative form of the Robertson-Walker metric:

$$c^{2}d\tau^{2} = c^{2}dt^{2} - R^{2}(dr^{2} + \sin^{2}(r)d\psi^{2})$$
$$= c^{2}dt^{2} - R^{2}\left(\frac{dy^{2}}{1 - y^{2}} + yd\psi^{2}\right)$$
$$y = \sin r$$
$$\frac{d\sin^{-1}y}{dy} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - y^{2}}}$$

• Alternative form of the Robertson-Walker metric:

$$c^{2}d\tau^{2} = c^{2}dt^{2} - R^{2}(dr^{2} + S_{k}^{2}(r)d\psi^{2})$$
$$= c^{2}dt^{2} - R^{2}\left(\frac{dy^{2}}{1 - ky^{2}} + yd\psi^{2}\right)$$
$$y = S_{k}(r)$$
$$\frac{dS_{k}^{-1}y}{dy} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - ky^{2}}}$$
Relativistic Cosmologies

• The Robertson-Walker models.

 $\mathbf{k} = 0$

$$c^{2}d\tau^{2} = c^{2}dt^{2} - R^{2}(dr^{2} + S_{k}^{2}(r)d\psi^{2})$$

- k = +1: positive curvature everywhere, spatially closed, finite volume, unbounded.
- k = 1: negative curvature everywhere, spatially open, infinite volume, unbounded.

• k = 0: flat space, spatially open, infinite volume, unbounded.

Relativistic Cosmologies

• The Robertson-Walker models.

• We have defined the comoving radial distance, r, to be dimensionless.

• The current comoving angular distance is: $d = R_0 S_k(r)$ (Mpc).

• The proper physical angular distance is: $d(t) = R(t)S_k(r)$ (Mpc).



Relativistic Cosmologies

• Superluminal expansion: The proper radial distance is

d(t) = R(t)r

The proper recession velocity is:

$$v(t) = \dot{d}(t) = \dot{R}(t)r = \frac{\dot{R}}{R}d > c?$$

What does this mean?

Locally things are not moving (just Special Relativity). But distance (geometry) is changing. No superluminal information exchange.



Light Propagation

- How does light propagate through the expanding Universe?
- Let a photon travel from the pole (r=0) along a line of constant longitude (dθ=0,dφ=0).
- The line element for a photon is a null geodesic (zero proper time):



Light Propagation

• Equation of motion of a photon:

$$c^{2}dt^{2} = R^{2}(t)dr^{2}$$
$$r(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{cdt}{R(t)}$$

The comoving distance light travels.



Light Propagation

• Let's assume $R(t)=R_0(t/t_0)^{\alpha}$:

$$r(t) = \int_0^t \frac{cdt'}{R(t')}$$
$$= \frac{ct_0^{\alpha}}{R_0} \int_0^t t'^{-\alpha} dt'$$
$$= \frac{ct_0^{\alpha}}{R_0} \left[\frac{t'^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \right]_0^t, \quad \alpha \neq 1$$



Causal structure

• Lets assume $\alpha > 1$:

$$\frac{R(t) = R_0 (t/t_0)^2}{r(t)} :$$

$$r(t) = \frac{ct_0^2}{R_0} \left(\frac{1}{t_1} - \frac{1}{t}\right)$$

$$(t) = R(t)r(t) = c\left(\frac{t^2}{t_1} - t\right)$$

For $t >> t_1$, r is constant. This is called an **Event Horizon**. As t_1 tends to 0, l(t) diverges, everywhere is causally connected.

R

Causal structure

• Lets assume $\alpha < 1$:

$$\frac{R(t) = R_0 (t/t_0)^{1/2}}{r(t) = 2c \left(\frac{t_0^{1/2}}{R_0}\right) t^{1/2}}$$

$$l(t) = R(t)r(t) = 2ct$$

At early times all points are causally disconnected. The furthest that light can have travelled is called the **Particle Horizon**.

Cosmological Redshifts

• Consider the emission and observation of light:



 $t = t_1$ $r = r_1 = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{dt}{R(t)}$ $d_1 = R(t_1)r_1$



Cosmological Redshifts

• But the comoving position of an observers is a constant:

$$r_{1} = \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \frac{dt}{R(t)} = \int_{t_{0}+\delta t_{0}}^{t_{1}+\delta t_{1}} \frac{dt}{R(t)} = \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \frac{dt}{R(t)} + \left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{1}+\delta t_{1}} \frac{dt}{R(t)} - \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+\delta t_{0}} \frac{dt}{R(t)}\right)$$
$$\frac{\delta t_{1}}{R(t_{1})} = \frac{\delta t_{0}}{R(t_{0})}$$

Say the wavelength of light is $\lambda = c\delta t$:

$$\frac{\lambda_0}{R_0} = \frac{\lambda_1}{R_1} \qquad \text{so}$$

$$(1 + z) \equiv \frac{v_0}{v_1} = \frac{R_1}{R_0}$$

48

Cosmological Redshifts

• Can also understand as a series of small Doppler shifts:

 $d = c \delta t$ $\delta V = Hd = cH\delta t$ *t*=0 $t = \delta t$ $\frac{\delta v}{v} = \frac{+\delta V}{c} = -H\delta t = -\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\delta t = -\frac{\dot{R}}{R}$ δR R $v \propto R^{-1}$

Decay of particle momentum

- Every particle has a de Broglie wavelength:
- So momentum (seen by FO's) is redshifted too:

$$p = \hbar v$$
$$\Rightarrow p \propto 1/R$$

• Why? ("Hubble drag", "expansion of space"?)



The Dynamics of the Expansion



In 1922 Russian physicist Alexandre Friedmann predicted the expansion of the Universe

Birkhoff's Theorem

Newtonian Derivation:

Total Energy = K.E. + P.E $E_{TOT} = \frac{1}{2}m(\dot{R}r)^2 - \frac{GMm}{Rr}$



The Dynamics of the Expansion



In 1922 Russian physicist Alexandre Friedmann predicted the expansion of the Universe

Birkhoff's Theorem Friedmann Equation: $H^{2} = \left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^{2} = \frac{4\pi G\rho}{3} - \frac{kc^{2}}{R^{2}}$ $M = 4\pi\rho(Rr)^{3}/3$

Geometry & Density

• There is a direct connection between density & geometry:



$$\dot{R}^{2} = \frac{8\pi G\rho}{3} R^{2} - kc^{2}$$
$$\rho R^{2} \rightarrow 0$$
$$\dot{R}^{2} = -kc^{2}$$
$$k = -1$$
$$R = ct$$

• So a low-density model will evolve to an empty, flat expanding universe.

Geometry & Density

• There is a direct connection between density & geometry:



• So with the right balance between H and ρ , we have a flat model.

• We can define a critical density for flat models and hence a density parameter which fixes the geometry.



• How does Ω evolve with time?



$$H^{2} = \frac{8\pi G\rho}{3} - \frac{kc^{2}}{R^{2}}$$
$$1 = \frac{8\pi G\rho}{3H^{2}} - \frac{kc^{2}}{R^{2}H^{2}}$$
$$\Omega(t) = 1 + \frac{kc^{2}}{R^{2}(t)H^{2}(t)}$$



• What is present curvature length?

Define a dimensionless Hubble parameter:



• What is present density?

$$\rho_0 = 1.88 \times 10^{-26} \,(\Omega h^2) \, kg \, m^{-3}$$
$$= 2.78 \times 10^{11} \,(\Omega h^2) M_{\rm SUN} \, Mpc^{-3}$$

Or 1 small galaxy per cubic Mpc. Or 1 proton per cubic meter.

The meaning of the expansion of space

- Consider an expanding empty, spatially flat universe. c.f. a relativistic Grenade Model:
- Minkowski metric:

$$c^{2}d\tau^{2} = c^{2}dt^{2} - (dr^{2} + r^{2}d\psi^{2})$$

- Let v=Hr, H=1/t so v=r/t.
- Switch to comoving frame: $t' = t / \gamma = t \sqrt{1 (\frac{v}{c})^2} = t \sqrt{1 (\frac{r}{tc})^2}$

The meaning of the expansion of space

• Rewrite in terms of t' (comoving time):

$$\gamma^2 = 1 + \left(\frac{r}{ct'}\right)^2$$

• Hence in the comoving frame:

$$c^{2} d\tau^{2} = c^{2} dt'^{2} - \left(\frac{dr^{2}}{1 + (r/ct')^{2}} + r^{2} d\psi^{2}\right)$$

- but this is a k=-1 open model with R=ct!
- So what is curvature?
- And is space expanding

- Consider a universe with pressureless matter (dust, galaxies, or cold dark matter).
- As Universe expands, density of matter decreases: $\rho = \rho_0 (R/R_0)^{-3}$.

• Consider a flat model: $k=0, \Omega=1$.

 \boldsymbol{R}

$$H^{2} = \frac{8\pi G\rho}{3}$$
$$R \propto t^{2/3}$$



• The spatially flat, matter-dominated model is called the Einstein-de Sitter model.

$$R \propto t^{2/3}$$

$$H_0 = \frac{\dot{R}}{R} = \frac{2}{3t_0}$$

$$t_0 = \frac{2}{3H_0} = 9.3 \text{ Gyrs}$$

- Consider an open or closed, matter-dominated universe.
- Define a conformal time, $d\eta = cdt/R(t)$.

$$c^{2}d\tau^{2} = R^{2}(t)[d\eta^{2} - (dr^{2} + S_{k}^{2}(r)d\psi^{2})]$$



- Consider a closed, matter-dominated universe.
- Define a conformal time, $d\eta = cdt/R(t)$.

 \boldsymbol{R}

$$\left(\frac{R'}{R_*}\right)^2 = 2\left(\frac{R}{R_*}\right) - \left(\frac{R}{R_*}\right)^2$$
$$R(\eta) = R_*(1 - \cos \eta)$$
$$ct(\eta) = R_*(\eta - \sin \eta)$$

$$R_* = \frac{4\pi G\rho_0 R_0^3}{3c^2}$$

- Consider an open or closed, matter-dominated universe.
- Define a conformal time, $d\eta = cdt/R(t)$.

$$\left(\frac{R'}{R_*}\right)^2 = 2\left(\frac{R}{R_*}\right) - k\left(\frac{R}{R_*}\right)^2 \qquad R_* = \frac{4\pi G\rho_0 R_0^3}{3c^2}$$

$$R(\eta) = kR_*(1 - C_k\eta)$$

$$ct(\eta) = kR_*(\eta - S_k\eta)$$

• So for matter-dominated models geometry/density=fate.



• As Universe expands, density of matter decreases:

 $\rho_{\rm m} = \rho_{0\rm m} ({\rm R}/{\rm R}_0)^{-3}.$

- Radiation energy density: $\rho_r = \rho_{0r} (R/R_0)^{-4}$.
- At early enough times we have radiation-dominated Universe



• At early enough times we also have a flat model: k=0

$$\dot{R}^{2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \left(\rho_{m,o} (R_{0} / R)^{3} + \rho_{\gamma,o} (R_{0} / R)^{4} \right) R^{2} + c^{2} k$$

$$R \to 0, \qquad \Omega \to 1$$

$$\dot{R}^{2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \left(\rho_{m,o} (R_{0} / R)^{3} + \rho_{\gamma,o} (R_{0} / R)^{4} \right) R^{2}$$

$$\dot{R}^{2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \left(\rho_{\gamma,o} R_{0}^{4} \right) R^{-2}, \quad \Rightarrow \qquad R \propto t^{1/2}$$

So Particle Horizon!

• Timescales:

• Matter-dominated: R~t^{2/3}

$$H = \frac{2}{3t} = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G\rho_m}{3}} \Longrightarrow t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6\pi G\rho_m}}$$

•Radiation dominated: R~t^{1/2}

$$H = \frac{1}{2t} = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G\rho_{\gamma}}{3}} \Longrightarrow t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{32\pi G\rho_{\gamma}}}$$

• Spatial flatness at early times:

Recall: $\Omega(t) = 1 + \frac{kc^2}{(RH)^2} = 1 + k \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)$



How close to 1 can this be? At Planck time $(t=10^{-43}s)$?

$$\Omega(t) = 1 \pm \frac{t_{pl}}{t_0} = 1 \pm 10^{-60}$$
Energy density and Pressure

• Thermodynamics and Special Relativity:

dE = -pdV $d(\rho R^{3}c^{2}) = -pd(R^{3})$ $\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p/c^{2}) = 0$

• So energy-density changes due to expansion.

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H\rho = -3Hp \,/\,c^2$$

Energy density and Pressure

- Conservation of energy: $\dot{\rho} = -3H(\rho + p/c^2)$
- For pressureless matter (CDM, dust, galaxies):

$$p=0 \implies \rho \propto R^{-3}$$

• Radiation pressure:

$$\rho_{\gamma} \propto R^{-4} \implies \dot{\rho}_{\gamma} = 4H\rho_{\gamma}$$
$$p_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{3}\rho_{\gamma}c^{2}$$

•Cf. electromagnetism.



Pressure and Acceleration

• Time derivative of Friedmann equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\dot{R}^2 = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{8\pi G\rho}{3}R^2 - kc^2\right)$$
$$2\dot{R}\ddot{R} = \frac{8\pi G}{3}(\dot{\rho}R^2 + 2\rho R\dot{R})$$

$$\dot{\rho} = -3H(\rho + p/c^2)$$

•Acceleration equation for R:

$$\ddot{R} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} \left(\rho + 3p/c^2\right) R$$

Vacuum energy and acceleration

- Gravity responds to all energy.
- What about energy of the vacuum?
- Two possibilities:
 - 1. Einstein's cosmological constant.
 - 2. Zero-point energy of virtual particles.

Einstein's Cosmological Constant

 $H^2 = \frac{8\pi G\rho}{3} - \frac{kc^2}{R^2} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}$

Einstein introduced constant to make Universe static.

78

Einstein's Cosmological Constant

• Problem goes back to Newton (1670's).

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \rho \implies \Phi = 4\pi G \rho r^2 \implies g = \nabla \Phi \propto r$$

• Einstein's 1917 solution:

$$\nabla^2 \Phi + \lambda \Phi = 4\pi G\rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Phi = \frac{4\pi G\rho}{\lambda} \Rightarrow \quad g = 0$$



Einstein's Cosmological ConstantBut this is not stable to expansion/contraction.





Einstein called this: "My greatest blunder."

Zero-point vacuum energy





$$E_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\hbar v$$



- British physicist Paul Dirac predicted antiparticles.
- Werner Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle: Vacuum is filled with virtual particles.
- Observable (Casmir Effect) for electromagnetism.

The Vacuum Energy Problem

- So Quantum Physics predicts vacuum energy.
- But summation diverges.
- If we cut summation at Planck energy it predicts an energy 10¹²⁰ times too big.
 Density of Universe = 10 atoms/m³

Density predicted = 1 million x mass of the Universe/m³

• Perhaps the most inaccurate prediction in science? Or is it right?

Vacuum energy

- Vacuum energy is a constant everywhere: $\rho_V \sim R^0$
- Thermodynamics: Consider a piston:



$$d(\rho_V c^2 R^3) = \rho_V c^2 d(R^3) = -p_V d(R^3)$$
$$p_V = -\rho_V c^2$$

The equation of state of the vacuum.

Vacuum energy and acceleration

• Effect of negative pressure on acceleration:

$$\ddot{R} \propto -(\rho_V + 3p_V / c^2) = +2\rho_V$$

• So vacuum energy leads to acceleration.

$$H^{2} \propto \rho_{V} = \text{const}$$

$$\dot{R} = HR$$

$$R = R_{0}e^{Ht}$$

• Eddington: Λ is the cause of the expansion.

General equation of State

• In general should include all contributions to energy-density.

$$H^{2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho + \frac{c^{2}k}{R^{2}}, \qquad a = R(t)/R_{0}$$
$$= H_{0}^{2} \left(\Omega_{V} + \Omega_{m,o}a^{-3} + \Omega_{\gamma,o}a^{-4} + (1 - \Omega_{V} - \Omega_{m,0} - \Omega_{\gamma,0})a^{-2}\right)$$





General equation of State

- In general must solve F.E. numerically.
- Geometry is still governed by total density:





General equation of State



Age and size of Universe

• Evolution of redshift:

$$(1+z) = \frac{R_0}{R(t)}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{dz}{dt} = -R_0 \frac{\dot{R}}{R^2} = -(1+z)H(z)$$

where

$$H^{2}(z) = H_{0}^{2} \left(\Omega_{V} + \Omega_{m,o} (1+z)^{3} + \Omega_{\gamma,o} (1+z)^{4} + (1 - \Omega_{V} - \Omega_{m,0} - \Omega_{\gamma,0}) (1+z)^{2} \right)$$

Age of the universe:

$$t(z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{(1+z)H(z)}$$



Age and size of Universe

• Usually evaluate t₀ numerically, but approximately:

$$t_0 \approx \frac{2}{3H_0} [0.7\Omega_m - 0.3(\Omega_V - 1)]^{-0.3}$$



9(

Age and size of Universe

• Comoving distance-redshift relation: $dr=cdt/R=cdz/R_0H(z)$.

$$P_{m}=1 \qquad R_{0}r = \frac{2c}{H_{0}}\left(1 - (1+z)^{-1/2}\right)$$
$$P_{m}=0 \qquad R_{0}r = \frac{c}{2H_{0}}\left((1+z) - (1+z)^{-1}\right)$$







• Size and Volume:

• Start from line element:

$$c^{2}d\tau^{2} = c^{2}dt^{2} - R^{2}(t)\left(dr^{2} + S_{k}^{2}(r)d\psi^{2}\right)$$

•Angular sizes:

$$dl_{\perp} = R(z)S_k[r(z)]d\psi$$

•Volumes:

$$dV(z) = R^3(z)S_k^2[r(z)]drd\psi$$





• Angular size:

$$d\psi(z) = \frac{dl_{\perp}}{D_A(z)}$$

• Einstein-de Sitter universe

$$D_A(z) = \frac{2c}{H_0(1+z)} \left(1 - (1+z)^{-1/2} \right)$$

de Sitter universe

$$D_A(z) = \frac{cz}{H_0(1+z)}$$

$$d\psi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/z & z & EdS \\ 0 & dS \end{pmatrix}$$

- Luminosity and flux density:
 - Euclidean space:

$$S_v = \frac{L_v(v)}{4\pi r^2}$$

- Curved, expanding space:
 L=E/t~(1+z)⁻²
 - • $L_v = dL/dv$ $d/dv_0 = (1+z)d/dv$ • $v = (1+z)v_0$

$$S_{v}(v_{0}) = \frac{L_{v}[(1+z)v_{0}]}{4\pi R_{0}^{2}S_{k}^{2}[r(z)](1+z)}$$

$$S_{TOT} = \int \frac{dv}{1+z} S_{v} = \frac{L_{TOT}}{4\pi R_{0}^{2} S_{k}^{2} (1+z)^{2}}$$

• Surface brightness, I_v :



So the high-redshift objects are heavily dimmed by expansion.

• Luminosity distance:

$$S_{TOT} = \frac{L_{TOT}}{4\pi D_L^2(z)}, \qquad D_L(z) = (1+z)R_0S_k[r(z)]$$

- Einstein-de Sitter:
- de Sitter: $D_L(z)$





• Magnitude-redshift relation:

$$m = M + 5\log\left(\frac{D_L(z)}{10pc}\right) + K(z)$$

• The K-correction: redshift shifts frequency & passbands.



• Galaxy Counts: Number of galaxies on sky as function of flux, N(>S).

•Euclidean Model: Consider n galaxies per Mpc³ with same luminosity, L, in a sphere of radius D.



 $D \propto S^{-1/2}$ $V \propto D^3 \propto S^{-3/2}$

$$N(>S) = nV(S) \propto S^{-3/2}$$

• Olbers Paradox: The Sky brightness:

$$I = \frac{1}{A} \int_{0}^{\infty} dS \frac{dN (> S)}{dS} S$$
$$\propto \int_{0}^{\infty} dS S^{-3/2} = \left[S^{-1/2}\right]_{0}^{\infty}$$



which diverges as S goes to zero.

Too many sources as D increases, due to increase in volume.



• Olbers Paradox:

Why is the night sky dark?



$$I = \frac{1}{A} \int_{0}^{\infty} dS \frac{dN (> S)}{dS} S$$
$$\propto \int_{0}^{\infty} dS S^{-3/2} = \left[S^{-1/2}\right]_{0}^{\infty}$$

which diverges as S goes to zero.

Too many sources as D increases, due to increase in volume.





Distances and age of the Universe

Cosmological Distances: c/H₀ = 3000h⁻¹Mpc.
Cosmological Time: 1/H₀ = 14 Gyrs.

•Recall our solution for age of Universe:

$$t_{0} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{(1+z)H(z)}$$

$$\approx \frac{2}{3H_{0}} (0.7\Omega_{m} + 0.3(1-\Omega_{V}))^{-0.3}$$

So if we know $\Omega_{\rm m}$, $\Omega_{\rm V}$ and H_0 , we can get t_0 . Or if we know H_0 and t_0 we can get $\Omega_{\rm m}$ and $\Omega_{\rm V}$.

Distances and age of the Universe

- Estimating the age of the Universe, t₀:
 - Nuclear Cosmo-chronology: Natural clock of radioactive decay, τ~10Gyrs.
 - Heavy elements ejected from supernova into ISM: Thorium (232Th) => Lead (208Pb) 20 Gyrs Uranium (235U) => Lead (207Pb) 1 Gyr Uranium (238U) => Lead (206Pb) 6.5 Gyrs
- Estimating the age of the Universe:
 - No new nuclei produced after solar system forms, just nuclear decay:



• But don't know D_0 , so how to measure ΔD ?

109

- Estimating the age of the Universe:
 - Take ratio with a stable isotope of D, S.

$$\frac{D}{S} = \frac{D_0}{S} + \frac{P}{S} (e^{t/\tau} - 1)$$

• Plot D/S versus P/S



Meterorites: $\tau_{SS} = 4.57(+/-0.04)$ Gyrs + Nuclear theory: $\tau_{MW} = 9.5$ Gyrs

•Age from Stellar evolution:



 $t \sim M/L$

$\tau_{\rm GC} = 13-17$ Gyrs.

Recall for EdS t_0 =9.3Gyrs!



- Local distance:
- Use Cepheid Variables (cf Hubbles measurement to M31).
- Mass $M=3-9M_{sun}$
- Moving onto Red Giant Branch

Luminosity~(Period)^{1.3} L~ $1/D^2$ D~ $1/L^{1/2}$

Need to know D_{LMC}=51kpc +/- 6%. From parallax, or SN1987a.



- Larger distances:
- Use supernova Hubble diagram.
- SN Ia, Ib, II.
- SNIa standard candles.
- Nuclear detonation of WD.
- HST Key programme: $H_0 = 72 + /-8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

(error mainly distance to LMC)



- So now know H_0 and t_0 so now know $H_0t_0=0.96$.
- What can we infer about $\Omega_{\rm m}$ and $\Omega_{\rm V}$?



- This implies that if $\Omega_V = 0$, $\Omega_m = 0$
- Or $\Omega_{\rm V}$ =2.3 $\Omega_{\rm m}$! Implies vacuum domination...
- And if flat (k=0) $\Omega_V = 0.7$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$.

Cosmological Geometry

• Can measure Ω_m and Ω_V from luminosity distances to standard candles – the supernova Hubble diagram.



$$D_L(z) = (1+z) \int_0^z \frac{dz}{H(z)} \approx \frac{c}{H_0} \left(z + (2+\Omega_m - 2\Omega_V) z^2 / 4 \right)$$



Cosmological Geometry

• Can measure Ω_m and Ω_V from luminosity distances to standard candles – the supernova Hubble diagram.





The thermal history of the Universe

• Recall that as Universe expands: $\rho_{\rm m} = \rho_{0{\rm m}} ({\rm R}/{\rm R}_0)^{-3}$ $\rho_{\rm r} = \rho_{0{\rm r}} ({\rm R}/{\rm R}_0)^{-4}$ $\rho = \rho_{\rm v0} ({\rm R}/{\rm R}_0)^0$

• At early enough times we have radiation-dominated Universe.





The thermal history of the Universe

- How far back do we think we can go to in time?
- To the Quantum Gravity Limit:
 - Quantum Mechanics: de Broglie: General Relativity: Schwartzschild:

$$\lambda_{dB} = \frac{2\pi c}{v} = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{mc}$$
$$\lambda_{s} = \frac{2Gm}{c^{2}}$$



- If expansion rate < interaction rate we have thermal equilibrium.
- Shall also assume a we have perfect gas.
- Occupation number for relativistic quantum states is:



• The Chemical Potential, μ:

$$dE = TdS - pdV + \mu dN$$

A change of energy when change in number of particles.As in equilibrium, expect total energy does not change:

$$\mu = 0$$

• The particle number density:

$$n = \frac{1}{V} \int dN (p) f(p)$$

• N(p) is the density of discrete quantum states in a box of volume V with momentum p:

$$p = \hbar k$$



g = degeneracy factor(eg spin states)

• The number density of relativistic quantum particles:

$$n = \frac{g}{\hbar^{3}} \int \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} f(p)$$
$$= \frac{g}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{4\pi p^{2} dp}{e^{\varepsilon(p)/kT} \pm 1}$$
$$dk$$
$$g = degeneracy factor (eg spin states)$$

X

k_v



• Proton-antiproton production and annihilation:



- $m_p = 10^3 \text{ MeV}$ so for T > 10¹³ K there is a thermal background of protons and antiprotons.
- But when T< 10^{13} K annihilation to photons.
- Should annihilate to zero, but in fact $\Delta p/p=10^{-9}!$ (or else we wouldn't be here.)
- So there must have been a Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry!!

• The energy density of relativistic quantum particles (bosons):

$$u = \rho c^{2} = \frac{g}{\hbar^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} f(p)\varepsilon(p)$$

$$= \frac{g}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{4\pi p^{2} dp}{e^{\varepsilon(p)/kT} - 1} \varepsilon(p)$$

$$= \frac{g\pi^{2}}{30\hbar^{3}c^{3}} (kT)^{4}$$

$$g = \text{degeneracy factor} (\text{eg spin states})$$

k_v

The entropy, S, of relativistic quantum particles:
The entropy is an extensive quantity (like E & V):



 $1 \pi (T T T)$



$$E = E_1 + E_2$$
$$V = V_1 + V_2$$
$$S = S_1 + S_2$$

E

 ∂E

 ∂V

$$dE(V,T) = TdS(V,T) - pdV$$

$$\frac{E}{V}dV + \frac{\partial E}{\partial T}dT = \left(T\frac{S}{V}dV + T\frac{\partial S}{\partial T}dT\right) - pdV$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \frac{E}{V} = \frac{TS}{V} - p$$

T 10 /T

Hence

SO

$$s = \frac{S}{V} = \frac{\rho + p/c^3}{T}$$

 ∂S

 ∂V

• So in the ultra-relativistic case:

 $n \propto T^{3}$ $u \propto T^{4}$ $p = \frac{1}{3}u$ $\Rightarrow s = \frac{4}{3}\frac{\rho}{T} \propto T^{3}$

• So
$$s \propto n$$

• But $\dot{s}=0$ (entropy is a conserved quantity).

• Usual to quote ratios e.g. baryon density $n_B/s=10^{-9}$.

Lecture 10

- Given these simple scalings with T for bosons, what is the scaling for n, u and s for fermions when kT >> mc²?
- Formally expand:

$$\frac{1}{e^x + 1} = \frac{1}{e^x - 1} - \frac{2}{e^{2x} - 1}$$

• So occupation numbers:

$$f_F(T) = f_B(T) - 2f_B(T/2)$$

- Given these simple scalings with T for bosons, what is the scaling for n, u and s for fermions when kT >> mc²?
- For $kT >> mc^2$:

$$n_F \propto g_F T^3$$

 $n_B \propto g_B T^3$

• Number densities:

$$n_{F}(T) = \frac{g_{F}}{g_{B}} \left[n_{B}(T) - 2n_{B}(T/2) \right]$$
$$= \frac{g_{F}}{g_{B}} n_{B}(T) \left[1 - \frac{2}{2^{3}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{3}{4} \frac{g_{F}}{g_{B}} n_{B}(T)$$

- Given these simple scalings with T for bosons, what is the scaling for n, u and s for fermions when kT >> mc²?
- For $kT >> mc^2$:

$$u_F \propto g_F T^4$$

 $u_B \propto g_B T^4$

• Energy densities:

$$u_{F}(T) = \frac{g_{F}}{g_{B}} \left[u_{B}(T) - 2u_{B}(T/2) \right]$$
$$= \frac{g_{F}}{g_{B}} u_{B}(T) \left[1 - \frac{2}{2^{4}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{7}{8} \frac{g_{F}}{g_{B}} u_{B}(T)$$

- Given these simple scalings with T for bosons, what is the scaling for n, u and s for fermions when kT >> mc²?
- For $kT >> mc^2$:

• Energy densities:

$$s = \frac{u+p}{c^2T}, \quad u_F \propto g_F T^4, \quad p = u/3$$
$$u_B \propto g_B T^4$$

$$s_{F}(T) = \frac{g_{F}}{g_{B}c^{2}T} \left[u_{B}(T)(1+1/3) - 2u_{B}(T/2)(1+1/3) \right]$$
$$= \frac{g_{F}}{g_{B}} \frac{u_{B}(T)}{c^{2}T} \frac{4}{3} \left[1 - \frac{2}{2^{4}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{7}{8} \frac{g_{F}}{g_{B}} s_{B}(T)$$

• Given these simple scalings with T for bosons, what is the scaling for n, u and s for fermions when kT >> mc²?

$$n_F(T) = \frac{3}{4} \frac{g_F}{g_B} n_B(T), \quad u_F(T) = \frac{7}{8} \frac{g_F}{g_B} u_B(T), \quad s_F(T) = \frac{7}{8} \frac{g_F}{g_B} s_B(T)$$

• Define an effective number of relativistic particles:

$$g_* = \sum_{Bosons} g_B + \frac{7}{8} \sum_{Fermions} g_F$$

• So energy of all relativistic particles is:

$$u_{Total} = \frac{g_*\pi}{30(\hbar c)^3} (kT)^4$$

• The effective number of relativistic particles will change with time as kT<mc² and particles become non-relativistic.



• For high-T g_{*}=100. If supersymmetric, g_{*}=200.

Time and Temperature

• At early times radiation and matter are strongly coupled and thermalized to temperature, T, of radiation.

• Recall:
$$t = \sqrt{\frac{3}{32\pi G\rho_r}}$$

in a radiation-dominated universe,

and:
$$\rho_r \propto g_* T^4$$
, so $t \propto g_*^{-1/2} T^{-2}$

• Hence:

$$t = g_*^{-1/2} \left(\frac{T}{1.8 \times 10^{10} K}\right)^{-2}$$
 seconds

• Note also that T=2.73K(1+z), so $z\sim T/(1 K)$.

A Thermal History of the Universe

• With time now related to temperature, and hence energy, we can map out the thermal history of the Universe.



Freeze-out and Relic Particles

• Electrons - Positrons annihilation:

 e^+

•For first 3 seconds we have

have
$$e^-e^+ \leftrightarrow \gamma\gamma$$

- Then T drops and energy in γ becomes too low, so electrons and positrons annihilate.
- Stops when annihilation rate drops below expansion rate.



Freeze-out and Relic Particles

• Creation, annihilation and freeze-out of particle relics:



Freeze-out and Relic Particles

- Electrons-Positrons annihilation and neutrino decoupling:
- What happens to the energy released by $e^-e^+ \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$?
- At early times only have photons, neutrinos and e⁻e⁺ pairs in equilibrium.
- At $T = 5 \times 10^9 K$ (3 seconds) e-e+ pairs annihilate.

$$e^{-}e^{+} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \\ \not \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu}$$

As weak force decoupled at $T=10^{10}K$.

44
Freeze-out and Relic Particles

- So radiation is boosted above neutrino temperature by neutrino decay.
- Before $n_{\nu} \sim n_{\gamma}$, after $n_{\nu} < n_{\gamma}$ and $T_{\nu} < T_{\gamma}$.
- But recall entropy is conserved $\dot{s} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow s \propto g_*T^3$$

where

$$g_* = \sum_{Bosons} g_B + \frac{7}{8} \sum_{Fermions} g_F$$

Lecture 11

Freeze-out and Relic Particles

- How much is photon temperature boosted ?
- Entropy:

$$\Rightarrow s \propto g_*T^3$$

$$g_e=2$$

 $g_\gamma=2$

$$s_{after} (\gamma) = s_{before} (\gamma + e^{+} + e^{-})$$
$$= \left(1 + \frac{7}{8} \left[\frac{g_{e^{+}} + g_{e^{-}}}{g_{\gamma}} \right] \right) s_{before} (\gamma)$$
$$= \frac{11}{4} s_{before} (\nu)$$

• Neutrino Temperature:

$$T_{v} = \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{1/3} T_{\gamma} \left(= 2 / 73 K\right) = 1.95 K$$

Freeze-out and Relic Particles

- How much is radiation energy boosted ?
- Neutrino energy:

$$u_{\nu} = \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{4/3} u_{\gamma} = 0.227 \ u_{\gamma}$$

• Enhances ρ_r by factor of 1.68 for 3 neutrino species:



Relic massive neutrinos

- Can we put cosmological constraints on the mass of neutrinos ?
- 1960's: Particle physics models with $m_v = 0$.
- 1970's: Particle physics models with massive neutrinos.
- 1990's: Non-zero mass detected (Superkamiokande, and Sudbury Neutrino Observatory (SNO) confirms Solar model).

Relic massive neutrinos

- Can we put cosmological constraints on the mass of neutrinos ?
- Number-density of cosmological neutrinos:

$$n_F(v + \overline{v}) = \frac{3}{4}n_B(\gamma \mid T_{\gamma} = 1.95K)$$
$$= 113 \quad v / cm^3 / species$$

• Mass-density:

$$\rho_v = m_v n_v = \frac{3\Omega_v H^2}{8\pi G}$$

Relic massive neutrinos

- Can we put cosmological constraints on the mass of neutrinos ?
- Density-parameter of cosmological neutrinos:

$$\Omega_{v} = \frac{1}{93.5h^{2}eV} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{v}} m_{v_{i}}$$

• Re-arrange:

$$\overline{m_{v}} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{v}} m_{v_{i}} \le 4.6 eV \left(\frac{N_{v}}{3}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\Omega_{v}}{0.3}\right) \left(\frac{h}{0.7}\right)^{2}$$

• Compare with lab: $m_{ve} < 15 \text{eV}, m_{v\mu} < 0.17 \text{MeV}, m_{v\tau} < 24 \text{MeV}.$ $\Delta m^2 = m_i^2 - m_j^2 = [7x10^{-3} \text{ eV}]^2.$

- As R tends to zero and T increases, eventually reach nuclear burning temperatures.
- 1940's: George Gamow suggests nuclear reactions in early Universe led to Helium.
 - Prediction of a radiation background (CMB)
 - Predicted 25% helium by mass, as found in stars.
- 1960's: Details worked out by Hoyle, Burbidge and Fowler.
- First need free protons and neutrons to form.

- So first need proton & neutron freeze-out.
- Recall:

• Below T<10¹³K $(M_p \sim M_n \sim 10^3 \text{ MeV})$:

$$p\overline{p} \to \gamma\gamma$$
$$n\overline{n} \to \gamma\gamma$$

- Annihilation leaves a residual $\Delta p/p \sim \Delta n/n \sim 10^{-9}$.
- Protons and neutrons undergo Weak Interactions:

$$p + e^{-} \leftrightarrow n + v$$
$$n + e^{+} \leftrightarrow p + \overline{v}$$



• Ratio of neutrons to protons at temp T ($\Delta m = m_n - m_p = 1.3 \text{MeV}$): $n_n = -\Delta mc^2/2$

$$\frac{n_n}{n_p} = e^{-\Delta mc^2/kT} \approx e^{-1.5 \times 10^{10} K/T}$$

Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) • Annihilations stop when p & n freeze-out occurs: Τ3 Log n Pair expansion Reaction Pair production annihilation time > time $\tau_{int} \sim 1/\sigma v n$ $\tau_{exp} \sim 1/H$ Freeze-out log kT

• So ratio is frozen in at T_{freezeout}

$$\frac{n_n}{n_p} = e^{-\Delta mc^2/kT_{freezeout}} \approx e^{-1.5 \times 10^{10} K/T_{freezeout}}$$

155

- What is the observed neutron-proton ratio?
- Most He is in the form of ⁴He:



• He fraction by mass is: Y

$$V = \frac{(4 \times n_n / 2)m}{(n_n + n_p)m} = \frac{2}{1 + n_p / n_n}$$

- Observe Y=0.25 for stars.
- So $n_p/n_n = 2/Y-1=7$, or:

$$\frac{n_n}{n_p} \approx \frac{1}{7} \approx 0.14$$

- At what time, then, does neutron freeze-out happen?
- Need to know weak interation rates: $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{weak}$
- This was calculated by Enrico Fermi in 1930's.
 - $T_{freezeout}(n) \approx 1.4 \times 10^{10} K$
- So expected neutron-proton ratio is:

• Find

$$\frac{n_n}{n_p} \approx e^{-1.5 \times 10^{10} \, K/T_{freezeout}} \approx 0.34$$

- Expect $n_n/n_p = 0.34$.
- But we said $\frac{n_n}{n_n} = 0.14$

from observed stellar abundances.

- Close, but a bit big.
- But: 1. We have assumed $kT_{freezeout} >> m_ec^2$ but really $kT_{freezeout} \sim m_ec^2$
 - 2. Neutrons decay. τ_n =887 +/- 2 seconds for free neutrons. Need to be locked away in a few seconds:

$$\frac{n_n}{n_p} \approx 0.34 \to 0.14 \qquad \Rightarrow \quad Y = 0.25$$

- The onset of Nuclear Reactions.
- At the same time nuclear reactions become important.
- •Neutrons get locked up in Deuteron via the strong interaction

$$n + p \rightarrow D + \gamma$$

n p

- Happens at deuteron binding energy kT~2.2MeV
- Dominant when T(D formation)=8x10⁸K, or at a time t=3 minutes.

Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) • The formation of Helium. • ⁴He is preferred over H or D on thermodynamic grounds. Binding energies: E(He) = 7 MeVE(D) = 1.1 MeV• After Deuteron forms: $D + D \rightarrow {}^{3}He + n$ $D + D \rightarrow T + p$ $D + D \rightarrow {}^{4}He$ •Then T gets too low and reactions stop at Li & Be. $T + p \rightarrow {}^{4}He$ $D + {}^{3}He \rightarrow {}^{4}He + p$ BBN starts at 10^{10} K, t=1s. Ends at 10^{9} K, t=3mins. $T + D \rightarrow {}^{4}He + n$



- Summary of BBN:
- T=10¹³K, t~0.1s: Neutron & proton annihilation ($\Delta p/p \sim \Delta n/n \sim 10^{-9}$).
- T=10¹⁰K, t=1s: Neutron freeze-out $(n_n/n_p=0.34.)$ Neutrons decay $(n_n/n_p=0.14.)$ Nuclear reactions start.

 $n + p \rightarrow D + \gamma$

• T=10⁹K, t=3mins: Formation of Helium. Peak of D formation. End of nuclear reactions

H, D, ³He, ⁴He, ⁷Li, ⁷Be



- The number of neutrino generations:
- The ratio of neutrons to protons is:

$$\frac{n_n}{n_p} = f_{Decay} e^{-\Delta mc^2 / kT_{Freezeout}} \approx 0.163 (\Omega_B h^2)^{0.04} \left(\frac{N_v}{3}\right)^{0.2} \approx 0.14$$

- Depends weakly on $\rho_{\rm B}$: higher baryon density means closer packed, so n locked up in nucleons (D) faster.
- Depends on N_v : More neutrinos, more ρ_r (g_{*}), so Hubble rate increases, neutron freezeout happens sooner, so more n.
- Cosmological constraint that $N_{\nu} < 4$.
- In 1990's LEP at CERN sets $N_v=3$.

• Testing BBN:

- The abundance of elements is sensitive to density of baryons:
- η is number density of baryons per unit entropy.

$$\eta = \frac{n_n + n_p}{n_{\gamma}} \approx 3 \times 10^{-10}$$



 This gives us the matter-antimatter difference of Δp/p~10⁻⁹ Agreement between BBN theory and observation is a spectacular confirmation of the Big-Bang model ! 164

- Using BBN to weigh the baryons:
- The abundance of elements is sensitive to the density of baryons.
- The photon density scales as T⁻³, so:

$$\eta = \frac{n_n + n_p}{n_{\gamma}} = 2.74 \times 10^{-8} (\Omega_B h^2) \left(\frac{T}{2.73K}\right)^{-3} \approx 3 \times 10^{-10}$$

• This yields
$$\Omega_B h^2 = 0.02 \pm 0.002 \implies \Omega_B = 0.04 \left(\frac{h}{0.7}\right)^{-2}$$

• But: $\Omega_m \approx 0.3 >> \Omega_B$

So most of the matter in the Universe cannot be made of Baryons !

• Energy-density of the Universe:



- Ionization of a plasma:
- Assume thermal equilibrium.

• Use Saha equation for ionization fraction, *x*:

$$x = \frac{H^+}{H^+ + H}$$

$$\frac{x^{2}}{1-x} = \frac{(2\pi m kT)^{3/2}}{n(2\pi\hbar)^{3}} e^{-\chi/kT}$$

 $\chi = 13.6 \text{ eV} - \text{H}$ binding energy.

- Ionization of a plasma:
- But equilibrium rapidly ceases to be valid. Interactions are too fast, and photons cannot escape.



• This means the ionization fraction is higher than predicted by the Saha equation.

- The surface of last scattering:
- In plasma photons random walk (Thomson scattering off electrons).



- After recombination photons travel freely and atoms form.
- The last scattering surface forms a photosphere (like sun), The Cosmic Microwave Background. 169

• The CMB spectrum:

- The CMB was discovered by accident in 1965 by Arno Penzias and Bob Wilson, two researchers at Bell Labs, New Jersey.
- This confirmed the Big-Bang model, and ruled out the competing Steady-State model of Hoyle.
- They received the 1978 Nobel Prize for Physics.



• The CMB spectrum:

- The Big Bang model predicts a thermal black-body spectrum (thermalized early on and adiabatic expansion).
- The observed CMB is an almost perfect BB spectrum:



$$T = 2.725 \pm 0.002K$$

- Accuracy limited by reference BB source.
- CMB contributes to 1% of TV noise.

- The CMB dipole:
- The CMB dipole is due to our motion through universe.

• Doppler Shift: $v = Dv_0$, D = 1 + v.r/rc - dipole. $n_{\gamma}(v) = \left(e^{hv/kT} - 1\right)^{-1} \rightarrow \left(e^{hv/DkT_0} - 1\right)^{-1}$

• Same as temperature shift: $T=(1+v.r/rc)T_0$

• The CMB dipole:

- This gives us the absolute motion of the Earth (measured by George Smoot in 1977):
 V_{Earth} = 371+/-1 km/s, (1,b) = (264°,48°)
 assuming no intrinsic dipole.
- What is its origin?
 - Not due to rotation of sun around galaxy (wrong direction). v=300km/s, (1,b)=(90°,0°).
 - Motion of the Local Group?
 - Implies V_{LG}=600km/s (1,b)=(270°,30°).

Lecture 13

- The CMB dipole:
- What is its origin of the dipole?
 - Motion of the Local Group.
 - $V_{LG} = 600 \text{ km/s} (1,b) = (270^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}).$
- Motion due to gravitational attraction of large-scale structure:
 - LG is falling into the Virgo Supercluster (~10Mpcs away)
 - Which is being pulled by the Hercules Supercluster (the Great Attractor,
 - ~150Mpc away).

• Recall from globular cluster ages, supernova and BBN:

$$\Omega_m \approx 0.3 >> \Omega_B = 0.04$$

• So we infer most of the matter in the Universe is non-baryonic.

• How secure is the density parameter measurement?

• If it's wrong and lower, could all just be baryons.

• Mass-to-light ratio of galaxies:



$$\rho_m = \left(\frac{M}{L}\right) \rho_L$$

_ luminosity density from galaxy surveys

• We can expect $M/L=F(M)_{M/I}$

Comets: Low-Mass stars: Galaxy stars:

$$\frac{M}{L} \approx 10^{12} \frac{M_{Sun}}{L_{Sun}}$$
$$\frac{M}{L} \approx 10 \frac{M_{Sun}}{L_{Sun}}$$
$$\frac{M}{L} \approx 1 - 10 \frac{M_{Sun}}{L_{Sun}}$$



• In blue star-light:

$$\rho_{L} = (2.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{8} h L_{Sun} M p c^{-3}$$
$$\rho_{crit} = 2.78 \times 10^{11} \Omega_{m} h^{2} M_{Sun} M p c^{-3}$$

• So we find: $\left(\frac{M}{L}\right)_{Blue} \approx (300 \pm 100) \left(\frac{\Omega_m}{0.3}\right) \left(\frac{h}{0.7}\right) \frac{M_{Sun}}{L_{Sun}}$

This is way above the M/L=10 we see in stars.

- So not enough luminous baryons in stars.
- In fact not enough baryons in stars to make $\Omega_{\rm B}$ =0.04, so there must be baryonic dark matter too.

- Dark Matter in Galaxy Halos:
 - In 1970's Vera Rubin found galaxies rotate like solid spheres, not Keplerian.

$$V^2 = \frac{GM(< r)}{r}$$



- For V=const, need M(<r)~r,
- Density profile of Isothermal Sphere.
- Yields dark matter = 5×5 stellar mass

$$\rho \propto \frac{M}{r^3} \propto \frac{1}{r^2}$$

SO

Dark Matter in Galaxy Clusters

- In 1933 Fritz Zwicky found the Doppler motion of galaxies in the Coma cluster were moving too fast to be gravitationally bound.
- First detection of dark matter.

Zwicky (1898-1974)





• Assume hydrostatic equilibrium:

$$F = -\frac{GM(< r)}{r^2} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} P = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \rho \sigma_v^2$$

Velocity dispersion

• So need 10 - 100 x stellar mass.

180
Dark Matter in Galaxy Clusters

- X-ray emission from galaxy clusters.
- Hot gas emits X-rays.
- Assume hydrostatic equilibrium.
- Equate gravitational and thermal potentials:

$$\frac{GM(< r)}{r} = -\frac{kT(r)}{\mu m_p} \left(\frac{d\ln T}{d\ln r} + \frac{d\ln \rho_{gas}}{d\ln r}\right)$$

• Get both total mass, and baryonic (gas) mass.

$$\frac{M_B}{M_{Tot}} = \frac{M_{gas} + M_{stars}}{M_{gas} + M_{stars} + M_{DM}} = 0.01 + 0.09 \left(\frac{h}{0.7}\right)^{-3/2} \approx 0.1$$

• So $M_{DM} = 10M_B$

Dark Matter in Galaxy Clusters

- Gravitational lensing by clusters of galaxies.
- Use giant arcs around clusters to measure projected mass.
- Strongest distortion at the Einstein radius:

$$\theta_{_E} \propto \sqrt{M(<\theta_{_E})}$$



- Independent of state of cluster (equilibrium).
- Find again $M_{Tot} = 10 100 M_{stars}$

Dark Matter and $\Omega_{\rm m}$

- So independent methods show in galaxy clusters:
 M_{DM} ~ 10 M_{gas} ~ 100 M_{stars}
- Can estimate mass-density of Universe from clusters:

$$\rho_m = M_{cluster} n_{cluster} \approx 10^{14} M_{Sun} 10^{-3} Mpc^{-3}$$
$$\rho_{crit} = 2.78 \times 10^{11} M_{Sun} Mpc^{-3}$$
$$\Omega_m \approx 0.3$$



- The distribution of matter in the Universe is not uniform.
- There exists galaxies, stars, planets, complex life etc.
- Where does all this structure come from?
- Is there a fossil remnant from when it was formed?
- How do we reconcile this structure with the Cosmological Principle & Friedmann model ?

The large-scale distribution of galaxies

6 billion light years

The 2-degree Field Galaxy Redshift Survey (2dFGRS)

The large-scale distribution of galaxies

The 2-degree Field Galaxy Redshift Survey (2dFGRS)

 $\delta(r)$

• The matter density perturbation:

• Fourier decomposition:

 $\delta(r) = \frac{\rho(r)}{r}$

$$\delta(r) = \sum_{k} \delta_{k} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$$

$$k_x = n \pi / L, \quad n = 1, 2...$$



- The statistical properties:
 - The Ergodic Theorem:

$$\langle \ldots \rangle = \frac{1}{V} \int d^3 r \ldots$$

Volume averages are equal to ensemble averages.

• Moments of the density field:

$$\langle \delta \rangle = 0, \qquad \langle \delta^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{V} \int d^3 r \, \delta^2(r) = \frac{1}{V} \int d^3 r \left| \sum_k \delta_k e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \right|^2 = \sum_k \left| \delta_k \right|^2$$

• Define the power spectrum:

$$P(k) = \left|\delta_k\right|^2$$
$$\Delta^2(k) = \frac{k^3 P(k)}{2\pi^2} = \frac{d\langle\delta^2\rangle}{d\ln k} \approx \langle\delta^2\rangle (R \approx 2\pi/k)$$

- The statistical properties:
- The correlation function:

$$\xi(r) = \left\langle \delta(x)\delta(x+r) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{V} \int d^3x \,\delta(x)\delta(x+r) = \frac{1}{V} \int d^3x \sum_k \delta_k e^{-ik.x} \sum_{k'} \delta_{k'} e^{-ik.(x+r)} = \sum_k \left| \delta_k \right|^2 e^{-ik.r}$$

- So correlation function is the Fourier transform of the power spectrum, P(k).
- For point processes, correlation function is the excess probability of finding a point at 2 given a point at 1:

$$dP(2|1) = n^2(1 + \xi(r))dV_1dV_2$$



- The Matter Power Spectrum:
- So 2-point statistics can be found from P(k).
- What is the form of P(k)?
- For simplicity let's assume for now it's a power-law:

$$P(k) = Ak^{n}$$
$$\Delta^{2}(k) = \frac{Ak^{n+3}}{2\pi^{2}}$$

$$\log \Delta^2(k)$$

where A is an amplitude and n is the spectral index.



- The Potential Power Spectrum:
- Can we put limits on spectral index, n?
- Consider the potential field, Φ .
- So far we have assumed $\Phi <<1$ (so metric is Freidmann).
- Poisson equation:

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \rho_0 \delta$$
$$-k^2 \Phi_k = 4\pi G \rho_0 \delta_k$$
$$\Phi_k = -4\pi G \rho_0 \delta_k k^{-2}$$

$$P_{\Phi}(k) = \left\langle \left| \Phi_k \right|^2 \right\rangle \propto k^{n-4}$$
$$\Delta_{\Phi}^2(k) \propto k^{n-1}$$



n > l

n < 1

- The Potential Power Spectrum:
- Can we put limits on spectral index, n?

 $\log \Delta^2_{\Phi}(k)$

$$P_{\Phi}(k) = \left\langle \left| \Phi_k \right|^2 \right\rangle \propto k^{n-2}$$
$$\Delta_{\Phi}^2(k) \propto k^{n-1}$$

n=l

 $\log k$

- To keep homogeneity, need n less than or equal to 1.
- To avoid black holes, need n greater or equal to 1.
- So must have n=1, with Δ^2_{Φ} =const~10⁻¹⁰ (from CMB).
- n=1 is scale invariant (fractal) in the potential field. 198

Structure in the Universe



- Where did this structure come from ?
- In 1946 Russian physicist Evgenii Lifshitz suggested small variations in density in the Early Universe grow due to gravitational instability.





Dynamics of structure formation

- Consider the gravitational collapse of a sphere:
- Assume Einstein-de Sitter ($\Omega_m = 1, p_m = 0$).



Dynamics of structure formation

Since
$$\ddot{r} = -\frac{GM}{r^2}$$
 we find $A^3 = GMB^2$

• Linear theory growth:

$$r = A(1 - \cos\theta) \approx A \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 (1 - \frac{1}{12}\theta^2)$$
$$t = B(\theta - \sin\theta) \approx B \frac{1}{6}\theta^3 (1 - \frac{1}{20}\theta^2)$$



• 0th order:

$$r_0 = \frac{A}{2} \left(\frac{6t}{B}\right)^{2/3} \propto a(t)$$

- expansion of universe.

• 1st order:

$$r = \frac{A}{2} \left(\frac{6t}{B}\right)^{2/3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{20} \left(\frac{6t}{B}\right)^{2/3}\right)$$

Dynamics of structure formation

 r_0

position

• Linear growth of over-densities:

$$r = \frac{A}{2} \left(\frac{6t}{B}\right)^{2/3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{20} \left(\frac{6t}{B}\right)^{2/3}\right)$$

• Density ~ 1/Vol:

 $\rho > \rho_0$

$$\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} = 1 + \delta = \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^3$$
$$r = r_0 (1 + \delta)^{-1/3} \approx r_0 (1 - \frac{1}{3}\delta)$$

 ρ

• Linear growth in E-dS:

$$\delta = \frac{3}{20} \left(\frac{6t}{B}\right)^{2/3} \propto a(t)$$



- Collapse: ightarrow

 $\theta = 2\pi, r = 0, \quad \delta = \infty$ Virialization: V = -2K, $r = \frac{1}{2}r_{max}$, $\theta = \frac{3}{2\pi}$, $\delta = 177$, $\delta_{lin} = 1.69$

Formation of a galaxy cluster



• Since $\delta \sim a$ on all linear scales, the matter power spectrum preserves its shape in the linear regime.





- Have already seen we need non-baryonic dark matter
- $(\Omega_{\rm B}=0.04 < \Omega_{\rm m}=0.3, \text{ from BBN and clusters, SN, ages...}).$
- But what can the dark matter be?
 - Massive Neutrinos?

Now know to have mass, so possibly.

• Black holes?

Also now know to exist at centre of all galaxies. But if too large, disrupt galactic disk & lens stars in LMC and galactic bugle (MACHO and OGLE surveys). Too small and will over-produce Hawking radiation emission.

• A frozen-out particle relic from the early universe: Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPS).

What is Dark Matter?

- Must be weakly interacting to avoid detection so far.
- A promising idea in particle physics is Supersymmetry:



• The lightest supersymmetric particle (the neutralino = gravitino+photino+zino) could be detected in 2007 at Europe's CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC).

It is convenient to divide dark matter candidates into 3 types:
1. Hot Dark Matter (HDM):

Relativistic at freeze-out (e.g. neutrinos), kT>>mc².

 $n_{HDM} \approx n_{\gamma}, \quad m_{HDM} \approx eV$

 Warm Dark Matter (WDM): Some momentum at freeze-out, kT~mc².

 $n_{WDM} < n_{\gamma}, \qquad m_{WDM} \approx 1 - 10 keV$

3. Cold Dark Matter (CDM): No momentum at freeze-out,

 $kT << mc^2$.

$$\rho = mn \propto me^{-m/MeV}$$



The matter Transfer Functions: Dark matter affects the matter power spectrum of density perturbations.
HDM: Free-streaming and damping: HDM freezes-out relativistically.





• HDM: Free-streaming and damping:

• HDM freezes-out relativistically, v~c, so can free-stream out of density perturbations in matter-dominated regime.



• So if HDM, expect no structure (galaxies) on small-scales today!.

• This rules out an HDM-dominated universe.

Baryons + photons: Baryon Oscillations and Silk damping.
 t<t_{rec}: Baryon-photon plasma



Baryons + photons: Baryon Oscillations and Silk damping.
t>t_{rec}: Baryon and photons free. Baryons oscillate.



• CDM + photons: The Meszaros Effect.

• Recall at early times $\rho_{\gamma} >> \rho_{\rm m}$



• CDM + photons: The Meszaros Effect.

• After matter-radiation equality, all scales grow the same.

• Produces a break in the matter power spectrum at comoving horizon scale at $z_{eq}=23,900\Omega_{m}h^{2}$.

$$D_{H}(z_{eq}) = R_{0}r_{H}(z_{eq}) \approx 16(\Omega_{m}h^{2})^{-1}Mpc$$

Predicts hierarchical sequence of structure formation (smallest first).

• Transfer Functions:

• Can quantify all this with the transfer function, T(k):

Observations: 2dFGRS Power-Spectrum



- No large oscillations or damping. $\overset{(\bigstar)}{\sim}$
- Rules out a pure baryonic or pure HDM universe.
- Smooth power expected for CDM-dominated universe.
- Detection of baryon oscillations
 trace baryons.



Cosmological Parameters from 2dFGRS



Observations: 2dFGRS Power-Spectrum

• Information about the amplitude of the power spectrum is confused, as we are looking a galaxies, not matter.



• We usually assume a linear relation between matter and density

perturbations:

b-bias parameter

So amplitude of galaxy clustering mixes primordial power and process of galaxy formation.

Structure Formation in a CDM Universe



Cosmological Inflation

• Standard Model of Cosmology explains a lot (expansion, BBN, CMB, evolution of structure) but does not explain:

• Origin of the Expansion: Why is the Universe expanding at t=0?






• Standard Model of Cosmology explains a lot (expansion, BBN, CMB, evolution of structure) but does not explain:

• Horizon Problem:

Why is the CMB so uniform over large angles, when the causal horizon is 1 degree?



• Structure Problem: What is the origin of the structure?



Lets tackle the horizon problem first.
Recall for R~t^{1/2} we have a particle horizon:

- But if $R \sim t^{\alpha}$, $\alpha > 1$, can causally connect universe:
- More generally $\ddot{R} > 0$
- This happens when:

$$\ddot{R} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} R(\rho + 3p/c^2) > 0$$

which we get from Vacuum Energy,

$$p_V = -\rho_V c^2$$

- In 1980 Alan Guth proposed that the Early Universe had undergone acceleration, driven by vacuum energy.
- He called this Cosmological Inflation.
- Inflates a small, uniform causal patch to the size of observable Universe.

• Explains why Universe (& CMB) looks so uniform.

We are here !

- Expansion Problem:
- Vacuum energy leads to acceleration of the Early Universe
- This powers the expansion (recall Eddington).



• Need Inflation to end to start BB phase.

• The Flatness Problem: Recall that if we expand a model with curvature, it looks locally flat:



$$H^{2} = \frac{8\pi G\rho_{V}}{3} - \frac{c^{2}k}{R^{2}}$$
$$R \to \infty$$
$$H^{2} = \frac{8\pi G\rho_{V}}{3}$$
$$k = 0$$

So Inflation predict Ω=Ω_m+Ω_v=1 to high accuracy.
Compare with SN & galaxy clustering results. 22

- How much Inflation do we need?
- Usually assume Inflation happens at GUT era, $E_{GUT} \sim 10^{15} GeV$.

• So how large is the current horizon at the GUT era?

$$\begin{aligned} d_{H}(today) &= 6000 h^{-1} Mpc \\ d_{H}(GUT) &= d_{H}(today) / (1 + z_{GUT}), \\ 1 + z_{GUT} &= \frac{E_{GUT}}{E_{CMB}} = \frac{10^{15} \, GeV}{2.5 \times 10^{-13} \, GeV} \approx 10^{27} \\ d_{H}(GUT) &\approx 10^{-24} \, Mpc \approx 10^{-2} \, m \end{aligned}$$

- But causal horizon at GUT era is just $d_{GUT} = ct_{GUT} = 3x10^{-27}m$.
- So need to stretch GUT horizon by factor $a_{Infl}=10^{29} \sim e^{60}$

Lecture 16

Lecture Notes, PowerPoint notes, Tutorial Problems and Solutions are now available at: http://www.roe.ac.uk/~ant/Teaching/Astro%20Cosmo/index.html

- We need a dynamical process to switch off inflation.
- Simplest models are based on scalar fields (spin-0), φ, the inflaton (e.g. π-mesons, Higgs bosons). No idea what φ is...
- Must obey energy equation: $E^2 = p^2c^2 + m^2c^4$
- Quantize to get Klein-Gordon equation: $p = -i\hbar\nabla$, $E = i\hbar\partial_t$

$$\ddot{\varphi} - c^2 \nabla^2 \varphi = -(m^2 c^4 \hbar^{-2})\varphi$$

- Assume φ is uniform and add expansion term, 3H: $\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi} = -V'(\varphi), \quad V' = \frac{dV}{d\varphi}$
- Equation of a particle in a potential $V=(m^2c^4\hbar^{-2})\phi^2/2$.



• Evolution of a scalar field, φ , in a potential V(φ).

• Energy of scalar field: $V(\phi)$

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2 + V(\varphi)$$

Assume Slow Roll:

 $\dot{\phi}^2 << V$ $\rho \approx V \approx const.$

So like a vacuum energy with pressure

$$p = -\rho c^2$$

Drives acceleration of expansion.



- The End of Inflation.
- Eventually the inflaton will reach the bottom of the potential and oscillate. $V(\phi)$

No longer slow-rolling.

 $\dot{\phi}^2 >> V$

 The Inflaton can then decay into other particles and radiation, re-heating Universe for radiation-domination.

226

φ

The Origin of Structure in Inflation.
The evolution of the inflaton has quantum fluctuations

$$\varphi = \varphi_{classical} + \delta \varphi_{quantum} \quad V(q)$$

 Fluctuations due to Hawking Radiation (c.f. Black Holes).

$$\delta \varphi = \frac{\hbar H}{2\pi}$$



So the universe expands at different rates, leading to density perturbations:

$$\delta = \frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} = -3\frac{\delta R}{R} = -3H\delta t = -3H\frac{\delta\varphi}{\dot{\phi}}, \qquad \delta t = \frac{\delta\varphi}{\dot{\phi}}$$

- The Origin of Structure in Inflation.
- During Slow-Roll $|\ddot{\varphi}| < |V'|$ equation of motion simplifies:

$$3H\dot{\phi} = -V', \Rightarrow \dot{\phi} = -\frac{V'}{3H}$$
 V(e)

The induced density field is:

$$\delta = -3H \frac{\delta\varphi}{\dot{\varphi}} = \frac{9\hbar H^3}{2\pi V'} \propto \frac{V^{3/2}}{V'}$$

• The density power spectrum is

$$P(k) \propto \left\langle \delta^2 \right\rangle \propto \frac{V^3}{{V'}^2}$$

 $\Lambda 0$

Exponential expansion generates a fractal in the potential field: so spectral index is n=1.

A Gravitational Wave Background from Inflation

• Structure created by freezing in quantum fluctuations during an inflationary epoch. Leaves imprint in structure.

Frozen-in structure & gravitational waves

Big Bang Epoch

Quantum fluctuations of massless virtual particles (inflaton, gravitational waves) excited by expansion.

1

Inflationary Epoch

Gravitational wave spectrum: $P_{GW}(k) \sim \delta \phi^2 \sim V$

The Multiverse in Inflation

Inflation can happen lots of times, producing a Multiverse.



The Cosmic Microwave Background

Recombination

Plasma

T=2.73K Observer

z = 1000 z = infinity





Anisotropies in the CMB

- Recall in 1930's George Gamow predicts an afterglow from the Big Bang.
- Detected in 1969 by Arno Penzias & Robert Wilson (who won Nobel Prize).
- Formed at reionization at z = 1100.
- In 1960's Sachs and Wolfe predicted there should be anisotropies due to potential perturbations.

Anisotropies in the CMB

- Anisotropies first detected in 1992 by the COBE satellite.
- On large angular scales $\Delta T/T=10^{-5}$
- Detail images from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) in 2003.
- First year data.
- Third year data expected any day now.

Spherical Harmonic Analysis of the CMB

• Expand fluctuations in CMB temperature field in spherical harmonics on the celestial sphere:

$$\Delta \mathrm{T}(\theta,\phi) = \sum_{\ell m} T_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi)$$

Spherical Harmonic Analysis of the CMB

• The squared harmonic modes of the temperature can be averaged to give a power spectrum:

$$\left\langle \left| T_{\ell m} \right|^2 \right\rangle = C_{\ell}^{TT}$$

where

$$\frac{\ell(\ell+1)C_{\ell}^{TT}}{2\pi} \approx \left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)^2 (\theta \approx 2\pi/\ell)$$

ΔT on super-horizon scales (>1°)

• Sachs-Wolfe Effect: Gravitational redshift due to photons climbing out of potential wells,

$$\frac{\Delta T}{T} = \frac{1}{3} \Phi$$
 Newtonian potential



ΔT on sub-horizon scales (<1°)

• Photon Diffusion: Photons random walk out of potential wells, $\frac{\Delta T}{\pi} \propto \exp(-\ell^2 \theta_s^2/2)$



The CMB Temperature Power Spectrum



CMB Temperature Power Spectrum seen by WMAP (2003)



Geometry of the Universe from the CMB Temperature Power Spectrum

- The peak of the CMB power spectrum is given by the horizon size at recombination.
- Hence it is a fixed "ruler".



Matter only universe:

$$D_{H}(z) = R_{0} \int_{z}^{\infty} dr = \frac{2c}{H_{0}} \left[\Omega_{m} (1+z) \right]^{-1/2} \approx 18 \, \ln(\Omega_{m}h^{2})^{-1/2} Mpc$$

$$\theta_{H} \approx 1.8 \Omega_{m}^{-1/2} \deg$$

Dark Matter and Vacuum Energy

- The CMB acoustic peak measure spatial curvature of Universe.
- Combine with supernova, galaxy clusters, galaxy clusters, galaxy clustering.
- Likelihood contours for $\Omega_{\rm m}$ - $\Omega_{\rm V}$ plane.

 $\Omega_V = 0.7, \Omega_m = 0.3$

• So four independent methods converge on one model.



Putting it all together

- The "Standard Model" of Cosmology:
- CMB acoustic peaks:
- Supernova:
- CMB + SN:
- Galaxy clusters and galaxy clustering:
- BBN and CMB:
- CMB Sachs-Wolfe effect:
- CMB + galaxy clustering:
- HST key programme and CMB:
- Age of Universe:

 $\Omega_{m} + \Omega_{V} = 1$ $2\Omega_{V} - \Omega_{m} = 1.1$ $\Omega_{V} = 0.7$ ng: $\Omega_{m} = 0.3$ $\Omega_{B} = 0.04$ $\Phi = 10^{-5}$ $n \sim 1$ h = 0.7

13.7+/- 0.2 Gyrs

Structure in the Universe with CDM



Open Questions and Speculations

- Need to explain this strange Universe.
 - What is vacuum energy (dark energy)?
 - Why is ρ_V ~ (1 eV)⁴ ~ ρ_{m?}?
 New particle physics, change gravity?
 - What is the Cold Dark Matter?
 - CDM neutralino in LHC?
 - •Did inflation happen?
 - Detect gravity wave background?
 - How did galaxies form?
 - •Watch them form at high-z?

A Multiverse?

- Inflation and superstring theory both predict a multiverse.
- Find $\sim 10^{120}$ universes...

 Life will appear only in those Universes with small vacuum energy.



Parallel Universe Cosmologies

• Speculative ideas like the Ekpyrotic Universe try to unify Dark Energy and Inflation.

Our Universe

Another Universe

Distance between galaxies



